



ADDENDUM NO. 1
January 25, 2024

to the Request for Proposal for Consulting Services
related to:

**PEAVINE PIPELINE REPLACEMENT ENVIRONMENTAL
RECOMMENDATIONS, REVIEW AND PERMITTING**

TO ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS:

Addendum No.1 provides for deletions, corrections, clarifications, and additions to the Request for Proposal (RFP) for Professional Services related to PEAVINE PIPELINE REPLACEMENT ENVIRONMENTAL RECOMMENDATIONS, REVIEW AND PERMITTING; these deletions, corrections, clarifications, and additions shall apply in the same manner as if they were originally part of the RFP. The addendum includes two (2) pages.

1. Appendix A: Reference

a. Add [Peavine Pipeline Tree Survey Data](#)

2. Proposal page limit revised to 30 pages.

3. Project Description and Schedule: 6-page maximum removed.

LIST OF BIDDER'S QUESTIONS & DISTRICT'S ANSWERS:

1. With the required technical studies (e.g., Biological, Cultural, and Hazards) required to support CEQA and regulatory permitting requirements, it may not be viable to meet the summer 2024 timeline for start of bench clearing/repair. Is this a hard deadline? **The deadline isn't hard and will have to conform to CEQA/regulatory permitting requirements prior to moving ahead.**
2. Is the District anticipating that the consultant will provide environmental avoidance/placement recommendations or engineering level recommendations as part of the preliminary project review? **The District anticipates that the consultant will provide environmental avoidance/placement recommendations.**
3. Will a replacement plan need to be prepared to address the removal of the hazard trees? **The District does not believe a replacement plan will be needed/required.**
4. In RFP SECTION I – INTRODUCTION one of the goals is “provide pipeline design recommendations”.
 - a) Can the District clarify what the specific scope you are expecting the consultant to fulfill? **The District will be seeking advice on what design hardening options would be most feasible for permitting. For example, if hillside sloughing is proposed to harden the pipeline, what issues may develop for permitting.**
 - b) Does the District anticipate that environmental firms will need to include an engineer on their team to support? **No, the District does not anticipate that environmental firms will need to include an engineer on their team.**
5. What District staff will be included on the selection panel reviewing proposals? **The staff panel will include the Interim General Manager, the Engineering Manager, the**

- Director of Operations, the Environmental Programs Manager, and the Environmental Planner.
6. Will there be any organizations or stakeholders outside of the District on the selection panel?
No outside organizations will be included but the District's Committee members and Board of Directors will participate in the selection process.
 7. Can the District describe the coordination with FEMA up to this point on the project and elaborate on their role (for NEPA compliance)?
The District has had minimal coordination with FEMA, outside of developing the initial project scope. It is expected that full EHP will be involved with the project (cultural & biological).
 8. What is the District's budget for this project?
The budget for this portion of the project is undefined.
 9. Regarding III.B.6. Past Project Performance: Do the projects provided in this section need to be completed, or can they be in progress?
These can be in progress as well.
 10. Clear spanning the creeks would be the optimal way to reduce the scope and schedule for the environmental/permitting effort. Has the District determined if it is feasible to clear span the creeks?
The District is in the process of design for the pipeline alignment but plans to clear span the creeks as it historically has.