

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS SAN LORENZO VALLEY WATER DISTRICT AGENDA

#### NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING APRIL 12, 2017

<u>NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN</u> that a special meeting of the Board of Directors of the San Lorenzo Valley Water District will be held on Wednesday, **April 12, 2017 at 1:30 p.m.** at the Operations Building, 13057 Highway 9, Boulder Creek, California.

- 1. Convene Meeting
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. New Business:

Members of the public will be given the opportunity to address each scheduled item prior to board action. The Chairperson of the Board may establish a time limit for members of the public to address the Board on agendum.

a. SAN LORENZO VALLEY WATER DISTRICT RATE STUDY-PRESENTED BY NBS

Discussion by the Board relative to the SLVWD rate study.

- (1) Initial Review of Capacity Charges
- (2) Initial Review of Sewer Rates
- (3) Discussion of Water Rate Design Scenarios
- 4. Adjournment

In compliance with the requirements of Title II of the American Disabilities Act of 1990, the San Lorenzo Valley Water District requires that any person in need of any type of special equipment, assistance or accommodation(s) in order to communicate at the District's Public Meeting can contact the District Secretary's Office at (831) 430-4636 a minimum of 72 hours prior to the scheduled meeting.

Agenda documents, including materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the Board of Directors after distribution of the agenda packet, are available for public inspection and may be reviewed at the office of the District Secretary, 13060 Highway 9, Boulder Creek, CA 95006 during normal business hours. Such documents are also available on the District website at <u>www.slvwd.com</u> subject to staff's ability to post the documents before the meeting.

#### **Certification of Posting**

I hereby certify that on March 30, 2017 I posted a copy of the foregoing agenda in the outside display case at the District Office, 13060 Highway 9, Boulder Creek, California, said time being at least 24 hours in advance of the special meeting of the Board of Directors of the San Lorenzo Valley Water District (Government Code Section 54956).

Executed at Boulder Creek, California on March 30, 2017

Holly B. Morrison, District Secretary San Lorenzo Valley Water District

#### San Lorenzo Valley Water District

#### **Rate Design Study**

Special Board of Director's Meeting April 12, 2017

#### Presented by

-

Greg Clumpner, Director, NBS Carmen Narayanan, Consultant, NBS



## **Overview & Meeting Objectives**

#### Sewer Rates

- ✓ Financial Plan
- ✓ Cost-of-Service Analysis
- ✓ Proposed Rates and Bill Comparisons
- Water Connection Fees

#### Water Rate Design Alternatives

- ✓ Recap of Cost-of-Service Analysis
- ✓ Overview of Rate Design Alternatives

### Next Steps



### **Recap of Rate Study Tasks**

### Key Components in a Rate Study:

FINANCIAL PLAN / REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

COST-OF-SERVICE ANALYSIS

#### Step 1: Financial Plan/ Revenue Requirements -

Compares current sources and uses of funds and determines the revenue needed from rates and projected rate adjustments.

#### Step 2: Cost-of-Service Analysis - Allocates revenue requirements to the customer classes in a "fair and equitable" manner that complies with Prop 218.

#### **Step 3: Rate Design -**Considers what rate structure alternatives will best meet the District's need to collect rate revenue from each customer class.

RATE DESIGN

#### **Covered in COSA Analysis**

Focus of Rate Design Study



# **Sewer Rates**



### **Sewer Financial Plan**



Increase Rates Annually to:

1.Meet Revenue Requirements

2.Fund Capital R&R and Improvement

3.Build & Maintain Appropriate Reserve Funds

NBS<sup>®</sup> helping communities fund tomorrow

### **Sewer Financial Plan** – (cont.)

#### **Five-Year Financial Projection:**

Summar of Sources and Uses of Funds and		Budget Projected										
Net Revenue Requirements		/ 2016/17	FY	2017/18	F	Y 2018/19	F۱	<b>/ 2019/20</b>	F۱	<b>/ 2020/21</b>	F١	2021/22
Sources of Sewer Funds												
Rate Revenue Under Prevailing Rates	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000
Non-Rate Revenues		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Sources of Funds	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000
Uses of Sewer Funds												
Operating Expenses	\$	127,016	\$	130,429	\$	133,932	\$	137,528	\$	141,219	\$	145,010
Debt Service		-		-		-		-		-		-
Capital Expenses		63,880		65,796		67,770		69,803		71,898		74,054
Total Uses of Funds	\$	190,896	\$	196,226	\$	201,703	\$	207,332	\$	213,116	\$	219,064
Surplus / (Deficiency) before Rate Increases	\$	(90,896)	\$	(96,226)	\$	(101,703)	\$	(107,332)	\$	(113,116)	\$	(119,064)
Additional Revenue from Rate Increases (1)		-		-		100,000		114,000		122,560		131,462
Surplus (Deficiency) after Rate Increase	\$	(90,896)	\$	(96,226)	\$	(1,703)	\$	6,668	\$	9,444	\$	12,398
Projected Annual Rate Increase		0.00%		0.00%		100.00%		7.00%		4.00%		4.00%
Cumulative Rate Increases		0.00%		0.00%		100.00%		114.00%		122.56%		131.46%
Net Revenue Requirement (2)	\$	190,896	\$	196,226	\$	201,703	\$	207,332	\$	213,116	\$	219,064

1. Assumes new rates are implemented July 1, 2017.

2. Total Use of Funds less non-rate revenues and interest earnings. This is the annual amount needed from sew er rates.

#### Annual Deficiency in Rate Revenue is Not Sustainable



### **Annual Sewer Rate Increases**





### **Proposed Sewer Rates**

Sower Pate Schedule	Current Pates	Proposed Rates							
		FY 2017/18	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22			
Projected Increase in Rate Revenue per Financial Plan:		100.00%	7.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%			
Monthly Fixed Service Charges:									
All Customers	\$149.00	\$303.03	\$324.24	\$337.21	\$350.70	\$364.73			





### **Sewer Rate Study Recommendations**

**Recommended Adjustments to Sewer Rates:** 

- 1. Adopt Recommended Sewer Rates
- 2. Proceed with Prop 218 Noticing



# **Water Connection Fees**



## **Overview of Connection Fee Methodology**

AWWA Manual M1\* establishes guidelines for three approaches for connection fees:

- 1. <u>Buy-In Method</u>: based on the value of the existing system's capacity.
- 2. <u>Incremental Cost Method</u>: based on the value or cost to expand the existing system's capacity.
- 3. <u>Combined Approach</u>: based on a blended value of existing and expanded system capacity.

\*Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges, Chapter VII.2 (7th ed.). (2017). Denver, CO: American Water Works Association.



**Connection Fees are a Very Simple Calculation:** 

#### Asset Replacement Costs less Depreciation Projected Number of New EDU's = \$/EDU



#### **Current System Assets:**

	Original \	/alues (1)		Replication	Values (2)	System Buy-In
Asset Category (1)	Asset Cost	Depreciation to Date	Asset Cost Less Depreciation	Asset Cost	Depreciation to Date	Cost Basis for Consideration (3)
Water Fund						
Admin/Office Building	\$ 1,915,392	\$ 1,130,865	\$ 784,527	\$ 2,063,820	\$ 1,025,991	\$ 1,037,828
Diversions	1,643,966	1,334,463	309,503	1,858,102	1,214,239	643,863
Hydrants	17,333	11,486	5,847	48,596	33,272	15,324
Land	5,074,098	-	5,074,098	22,096,913	-	22,096,913
Meters	1,090,299	712,940	377,359	1,592,646	1,050,952	541,694
Other	1,454,329	1,352,315	102,014	527,419	292,353	235,067
Pipes	20,413,079	10,824,428	9,588,651	32,334,922	15,721,315	16,613,607
Pump Stations	6,450,031	2,170,995	4,279,036	9,882,393	3,814,661	6,067,732
Rolling Stock	662,164	523,523	138,640	326,649	178,697	147,952
Tanks	3,067,699	2,710,226	357,473	12,871,568	11,526,809	1,344,759
Tools	362,607	337,774	24,833	52 <i>,</i> 283	18,097	34,186
Treatment	6,591,825	4,366,950	2,224,875	14,192,484	9,510,255	4,682,229
Wells	1,605,663	733,763	871,899	2,303,912	1,207,728	1,096,184
Total Capital Facilities & Equipment	\$ 50,348,485	\$ 26,209,728	\$ 24,138,757	\$100,151,706	\$ 45,594,369	\$ 54,557,337



#### Growth's Share of *Existing* System Assets (RCNLD\*):

	System Buy-In	Allo	cation Basis (%	) (4)	Distr	ibution of Cost B	asis (\$)
Asset Category (1)	Cost Basis for Consideration (3)	Exclude from Analysis	Existing Services	Future Services	Exclude from Analysis	Existing Services	Future Services
Water Fund							
Admin/Office Building	\$ 1,037,828	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	\$0	\$ 829,172	\$ 208,656
Diversions	643,863	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	514,414	129,449
Hydrants	15,324	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	12,243	3,081
Land	22,096,913	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	17,654,317	4,442,596
Meters	541,694	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	432,786	108,908
Other	235,067	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	187,806	47,260
Pipes	16,613,607	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	13,273,433	3,340,174
Pump Stations	6,067,732	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	4,847,811	1,219,921
Rolling Stock	147,952	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	118,206	29,746
Tanks	1,344,759	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	1,074,394	270,364
Tools	34,186	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	27,313	6,873
Treatment	4,682,229	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	3,740,864	941,365
Wells	1,096,184	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	0	875,795	220,388
Total Capital Facilities & Equipment	\$ 54,557,337	0.0%	79.9%	20.1%	\$0	\$ 43,588,556	\$10,968,781

#### \* Replacement Cost New less Depreciation



#### Growth's Share of *Planned* System Assets (RCNLD\*):

	Current Cost		System	% Allo	cation	Allocation \$		
Facility / Equipment (1)	Estimate (\$2017) <sup>1</sup>	Year to be Completed	Development Cost Basis for Consideration <sup>2</sup>	Existing Services	Future Services	Existing Services	Future Services	
Pipes	\$ 21,076,074	2035	\$ 21,076,074	79.9%	20.1%	\$ 16,838,718	\$ 4,237,356	
Tanks (including 10% volume contingency)	10,977,120	2035	10,977,120	79.9%	20.1%	8,770,164	2,206,956	
Pump Stations	12,276,000	2035	12,276,000	79.9%	20.1%	9,807,904	2,468,096	
Wells	4,590,000	2035	4,590,000	79.9%	20.1%	3,667,178	922,822	
Treatment	1,274,661	2035	1,274,661	79.9%	20.1%	1,018,390	256,271	
Diversions	1,147,500	2035	1,147,500	79.9%	20.1%	916,795	230,705	
Admin/Operations Building	2,493,162	2035	2,493,162	79.9%	20.1%	1,991,910	501,252	
Estimated FY 2016/17 CIP Expenditures	3,100,000	2017	3,100,000	79.9%	20.1%	2,476,743	623,257	
Total	\$ 56,934,517		\$ 56,934,517	79.9%	20.1%	\$ 45,487,802	\$ 11,4 <mark>46,715</mark>	



### Water Connection Fee Findings

Planning Period through FY 2035/36

fund tomorrow

Fees calculated using the "combined" approach.

		Evicting	Projected	Allocatio	n Factors	Cumulat	ative Change		
	Demographic Statistics	Total	Service Total	Existing Services	Future Services	Number of Units	%	Increase	
	SFR Meter Equivalent Units	8,055	10,082	79.9%	20.1%	2,027		25.2%	
	System Asset Values Allocated to Future Development								
	Proje	Projected Increase In Connections to the Water System							
	Incre	Increase in 5/8-inch Equivalent Meters (1)						2,027	
	Syste	System Asset Values Allocated to Future Development							
	Syste	System Asset Values Allocated to New Development							
	Exi	Existing System Buy-In (2)							
	New Fut	Future System Expansion (3)							
0	nnection Fee	Total: Existing & Future System Costs							
5	about <u>Double</u> Adju	stments to Cost	Basis:						
h	Current Fee	h Reserves					\$	521,778	
	Ou	tstanding Long-	Term Debt (Prine	cipal) Allocated	to Future User	S	(1	1,003,901)	
		otal: Adjustme	nts to Cost Basis	6			\$	(482,123)	
	Tota	Adjusted Cost	Basis for New D	evelopment			<b>\$ 2</b> :	1,933,373	
	Maxim	um Water Con	nection Per 5/8	or 3/4-inch me	eter		\$	10,821	
100									

### Water Connection Fees

	Equivaler	ncy Factor		Updated
Meter Size	Maximum Continuous Flow (gpm) (1)	Equivalency to 5/8 or 3/4-inch Base Meter Size	Maximum Unit Cost (\$/EDU)	Maximum Connection Fee Per Meter
5/8 Inch	20	1.00	\$10,821	\$10,821
3/4 Inch	30	1.00	\$10,821	\$10,821
1 Inch	50	1.67	\$10,821	\$18,035
1 1/2 Inch	100	3.33	\$10,821	\$36,070
2 Inch	160	5.33	\$10,821	\$57,713
3 Inch	320	10.67	\$10,821	\$115,425
4 Inch	500	16.67	\$10,821	\$180,352
6 Inch	1,000	33.33	\$10,821	\$360,704
8 Inch	1,600	53.33	\$10,821	\$577,126
	Meter Siz	ze Current	Connection Fee	
	5/8 Inch	1	\$4,966	
	<sup>3</sup> ⁄ <sub>4</sub> Inch		\$4,966	
	1 Inch		\$9,932	
	1 ½ Inch	ו	\$19,864	



### Water Connection Fee Recommendations

**Recommended Actions re: Water Connection Fees:** 

- 1. Receive District comments on this analysis.
- 2. NBS will document this analysis in a report to the District.
- 3. District Adopt Recommended Connection Fees



# Water Rates (Rate Design)



### **Recap of Cost of Service Results**

#### Cost of Service Analysis Results (Alternative #1):

Rate Alternative #1	Total Rate	Variable Costs	Fixed Costs	
Net Revenue Requirements - Per COSA	Revenue	Commodity	Capacity	Customer
Results	Requirements	<b>Related Costs</b>	<b>Related Costs</b>	<b>Related Costs</b>
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (%)	100.0%	35.7%	57.6%	6.7%
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (\$)	\$8,011,739	\$2,863,243	\$4,614,921	\$533,574
		36%	64	%

Current Rates are ≈ 50% Fixed/50% Variable



### **Recap of Cost of Service Results**

#### **Other COS Rate Alternatives Considered:**

Alt. #2 results in Fixed Charges that are equal to Current Rates

Rate Alternative #2	Total Rate	Variable Costs	Fixed	Costs
Net Revenue Requirements	Revenue	Commodity	Capacity	Customer
Allocation of 55% Fixed / 45% Variable	Requirements	Related Costs	Related Costs	<b>Related Costs</b>
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (%)	100.0%	45.1%	48.2%	6.7%
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (\$)	\$8,011,739	\$3,616,374	\$3,861,790	\$533,574
		45%	55	5%
Rate Alternative #3	Total Rate	Variable Costs	Fixed	Costs
Net Revenue Requirements	Revenue	Commodity	Capacity	Customer
Allocation of 45% Fixed / 55% Variable	Requirements	<b>Related Costs</b>	<b>Related Costs</b>	<b>Related Costs</b>
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (%)	100.0%	55.0%	38.3%	6.7%
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (\$)	\$8,011,739	\$4,406,456	\$3,071,708	\$533,574
		55%	45%	
Rate Alternative #4	Total Rate	Variable Costs	Fixed	Costs
Net Revenue Requirements	Revenue	Commodity	Capacity	Customer
Allocation of 30% Fixed / 70% Variable	Requirements	<b>Related Costs</b>	<b>Related Costs</b>	<b>Related Costs</b>
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (%)	100.0%	70.0%	23.3%	6.7%
Rate-Design Adjustments to Fixed/Variable (\$)	\$8,011,739	\$5,608,217	\$1,869,947	\$533,574
		70%	30	%



# Water Rate **Design Alternatives**



### **Overview of Rate Design Alternatives**

#### Rate Design Alternatives:

- ✓ All Rate Design will be Based on Financial Plan & Cost-of-Service Results
- ✓ Rate Design Components:
  - Timing of Rate Increases: What % in What Year?
  - % Fixed vs. Variable Revenue?
  - Single-Family: Uniform or Multi-Tiered Rates?
  - Base Rate (Fixed Charge): Include 2 hcf of Consumption?
- ✓ Related Rate Design Questions:
  - Seasonal Rates
  - Eliminate Volumetric Surcharge (Assumed to be Removed)

#### Water Bill Comparisons



### Water Rate Design Alternatives (cont.)

#### Timing of Rate Increases: What % in What Year?

Current alternatives being evaluated -

Pato Incroaso Alte	Projected Rate Increases (5 Years)								
	FY 2017/18	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22				
10-Year Phase In	45.0%	7.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%				
5-Year Phase In	65.0%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%				
Low Flat Rate Increases	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%				
Mid Flat Rate Increases	17.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	11.0%				

Poto Inoroaco Alto			Total			
Rate increase Aits.	FY 2017/18	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22	(Cumulative)
10-Year Phase In	45.0%	7.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	79.6%
5-Year Phase In	65.0%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	73.4%
Low Flat Rate Increases	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%	53.9%
Mid Flat Rate Increases	17.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	11.0%	97.5%



### Water Rate Design Alternatives (cont.)

#### % Fixed vs. Variable Charges: Rate Alternatives Adjust **Revenue Collected**

Option	Fixed	Variable	Total	Alt #2 results
Current Rates <sup>1</sup>	50%	50%	100%	Fixed Charges
Rate Alternative #1	64%	36%	100%	Current Rates
Rate Alternative #2	55%	45%	100%	
Rate Alternative #3	45%	55%	100%	
Rate Alternative #4	30%	70%	100%	

1. Variable includes drought surcharge revenue, which will be eliminated.



### Water Rate Design Alternatives (cont.)

# Single-Family Vol. Rates: Uniform or Multi-Tiered Rates?

Variable Rate Options				
Customer Class	Uniform	Tiered		
Single Family Residential	$\checkmark$	~	?	
Non-Residential	$\checkmark$	X		

- Current SFR Rates are 4-Tiers
- New SFR Rates will be 2-Tiers? 3-Tiers?
- Assumption: Eliminate Drought Surcharge of \$1/ccf



# Water Bill Comparisons: **Single- vs. Two-Tiers** (These are Generic Examples, Not Actual \$'s)



## Water Rate Bill Comparisons (generic)

**Generic Rate Design Alternatives:** 

#### **General Assumptions for a Single-Tier Example:**

#### Assumptions

Average Residential Bill = \$50/Month

- Uniform (Singe-) Tier Volumetric Rate
- Average SFR Use is 5 hcf/mo.
- NOT Representative of Actual Costs



### Water Rate Alternatives (cont.)

С

fund tomorrow

#### **Generic Rate Results (Single-Tier Alternatives):**

		Rate Design Alternatives				
		Alt. #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3		
	Allocation %:	70% Fixed/	50% Fixed/	30% Fixed/		
		30% Variable	50% Variable	70% Variable		
	Fixed Charge:	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00		
	Vol. Rate:	\$3.00	\$5.00	\$7.00		
uctomor	Low User (2 hcf/mo)					
ustomer	Mo. Fixed	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00		
Bill	Mo. Vol.	\$ <u>6.00</u>	\$ <u>10.00</u>	\$ <u>14.00</u>		
mnacte	Total Mo. Bill	\$41.00	\$35.00	\$29.00		
	Average User (5 hcf/mo)					
	Mo. Fixed	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00		
	Mo. Vol.	\$ <u>15.00</u>	\$ <u>25.00</u>	\$ <u>35.00</u>		
	Total Mo. Bill	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$50.00		
	High User (10 hcf/mo)					
	Mo. Fixed	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00		
	Mo. Vol.	\$ <u>30.00</u>	\$ <u>50.00</u>	\$ <u>70.00</u>		
	Total Mo. Bill	\$65.00	\$75.00	\$85.00		
NB5	San Lorenzo Valley Water District – Rate Design Study 29					

### **Sample Water Bill Comparisons**

#### **Generic Rate Results (Single-Tier):**





# Sample Water Bill Comparisons (cont.)

#### **Generic Rate Results (***with "Bookends" Added***)**:





## Water Rate Bill Comparisons (generic)

**Generic Rate Design Alternatives:** 

#### **General Assumptions for a <u>Two-Tier</u> Example:**





### Water Rate Alternatives (cont.)

#### **Generic Rate Results (Two-Tier Alternatives):**

		Rate Design Alternatives			
		Alt. #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	
	Allocation %:	70% Fixed/ 30% Variable	50% Fixed/ 50% Variable	30% Fixed/ 70% Variable	
	Fixed Charge:	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00	
	Vol. Rate (Tier 1):	\$3.00	\$5.00	\$7.00	
	Vol. Rate (Tier 2):	\$4.50	\$7.50	\$10.50	
	Low User (2 hcf/mo)				
	Mo. Fixed Charge	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00	
Customer	Tier 1 Charges	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$14.00	
	Tier 2 Charges	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	
Bill	Total Mo. Bill	\$41.00	\$35.00	\$29.00	
Impacts 🔼	Average User (5 hcf/mo)				
	Mo. Fixed	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00	
	Tier 1 Charges	\$15.00	\$25.00	\$35.00	
	Tier 2 Charges	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	
	Total Mo. Bill	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$50.00	
	High User (10 hcf/mo)				
	Mo. Fixed	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$15.00	
	Tier 1 Charges	\$15.00	\$25.00	\$35.00	
	Tier 2 Charges	\$ <u>22.50</u>	\$ <u>37.50</u>	\$ <u>52.50</u>	
	Total Mo. Bill	\$72.50	\$87.50	\$102.50	



### **Sample Water Bill Comparisons**

#### **Generic Rate Results (Two-Tiers):**



34

## Sample Water Bill Comparisons (cont.)

#### Generic Rate Results (with "Bookends" Added):



fund tomorrow

35

## Water Rate Design Summary:

**Observations of Rate Design Alternatives:** 

- 1. Higher % Fixed Charges:
  - Provide Less Conservation Incentives
  - Spread Higher Share of System Costs to All Users (Regardless of Consumption Levels)
  - Provide Greater Revenue Stability
- 2. Higher % Volumetric Charges:
  - Shift Costs to Those Using More Water
  - Benefits "Part-Time" Residents (w/ Lower Fixed Rates)
  - Subjects District to Drought-Risks/Revenue Instability

3. What are Director's thoughts on Rate Design Alts.?



## **Action Items for Board of Directors**

### **Provide Comment and Feedback on:**

- Sewer:
  - Proceed with Adopting New Sewer Rates?
- Water Connection Fees:
  - Proceed with Adopting New Connection Fees?
- Water Rate Design:
  - Need Direction on Rate Design Alternatives



### **Next Steps**

- 1. Accept/Approve Recommended Sewer Rates & Initiate Prop 218 Process.
- 2. Accept/Approve Recommended Water Connection Fees.
- 3. Direct Staff/NBS on Further Development of Rate Design Alternatives.
- 4. NBS to complete Rate Alternatives Based on Direction from the District.
- 5. Conduct at Least One More Workshop.
- 6. Proceed with Prop 218 Noticing/Approval.



# **QUESTIONS** and **COMMENTS**





San Lorenzo Valley Water District – Rate Design Study

39